STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EVALUATIONS DOCUMENT

	HONS	DOCOMENT
Dage 1	of 2	

Page	1	of	2
------	---	----	---

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Amador Area	Valley	8
Inspected by:		Date:
Lieutenant Kynaston, #12996		03-03-2010

	Inspection docume	on number. Under "Forwant shall be utilized to doc	ard to:" enter the nex ument innovative pra	it in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter of the chapter of the command where the document actices, suggestions for statewide aused if additional space is required.	
TYPE OF INSPECTION ☐ Division Level ☐ Command Level ☐ Executive Office Level		Total hours expended on the inspection: 5		☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Attachments Included	
Follow-up Required: ☐ Yes ☑ No		prward to: Valley Division ue Date: April 1, 2010			
Chapter Inspection: Inspector's Comments Regarding Innovative Practices:					
Area utilizes a locally created form (#295-08) for tracking the DUI Cost Recovery Program.					
Command Suggestions for Statewide Improvement:					
None.					
Inspector's Findings:					

Introduction

On March 3, 2010, the Amador Area conducted a comprehensive evaluation of the Command's DUI Cost Recovery and Reimbursable Services programs as required by the Command Inspection Program Manual (HPM 22.1). As a part of the evaluation, Lieutenant Bruce Kynaston (#12996) reviewed the Area's DUI Cost Recovery Log and associated CHP 735's. Lieutenant Kynaston additionally interviewed the Area's Office Assistant (Vicki Hysell) responsible for maintaining the Area's DUI Cost Recovery Log and tracking the Area's CHP 735's. Lieutenant Kynaston also reviewed the Area's Reimbursable Services file and log.

Summary of Findings

There were no discrepancies noted during this inspection with either of the two programs audited.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

EXCEPTIONS [DOCUMENT
D 0 . C 0	

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Amador Area	Valley	8
Inspected by:	*	Date:
Lieutenant Kynaston, #12996		03-03-2010

Page 2 of 2		
Commander's Response: ⊠ Concur or □	Do Not Concur (Do Not Concur shall do	cument basis for response)
Inspector's Comments: Shall address non co	oncurrence by commander (e.g. findings rev	ised findings unchanged
etc.)	oncurrence by commander (e.g., mongs rev	isou, intuinge anonanges,
Required Action		
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline		
None.		
Employee would like to discuss this report with	COMMANDER'Ş SIGNATURE	DATE
the reviewer. (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	BAL	3-3-10
1999 III III O. I., Gridgior o for appear procedured.)	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	3-3-10
Reviewer discussed this report with	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	DATE /
employee	1 CKINGS	06/22/10

1 of 4

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL
INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8
COMMAND DUI COST RECOVERY

Command:	Division:	Number:
Amador Area	Valley	
Evaluated by:	Date:	
Lieutenant Kyr	3-3-2010	
Assisted by:		Date:

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Any "No" answers, discrepancies with policy, applicable legal statues, or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall be commented on via the "Remarks" section. Additionally, such discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Exceptions Document and addressed to the next level of command. Furthermore, the memorandum shall include any follow-up and/or corrective action(s) taken. If this form is used as a Follow-up Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be marked and only deficient items need to be re-inspected.

TYPE OF INSPECTIO	N		Lead Inspe	ctor's Signatu	ire:		
☐ Division L	evel nspections	☑ Command Level☑ Voluntary Self-Inspection	B. Note				
Follow-u	p Required:	Follow-Up Inspection	Commander's Signature: Date: 3-3-10				
For applicat	le policies, refe	r to HPM 11.1, Chapter 20.	to HPM 11.1, Chapter 20.				
Note: If a "No	or "N/A" box is	checked, the "Remarks" section	shall be ut	ilized for ex	planation		
ensui Reim	e that a CHP 735 oursement Staten	ve sufficient procedures to , Incident Response nent, is prepared for each ost recovery criteria?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
Area Resp Area meet The Area repor	onse Reimbursen supervisors reviews the criteria, but a crea's Office Assists that meet the call is returned to the	el on the policy regarding DUI C nent Statement). w all arrest reports, looking for a a CHP 735 is not attached, the r stant (OA) processes accident re riteria for DUI Cost recovery. If e investigating officer for comple	rrests that eport is ref eports. Wi a report m	meet the courned to the	riteria for e investiga sing the ad	DUI Cost Re ating officer ocident repo	ecovery. If a report for completion rts, she looks for
		ve a specific employee(s) CHP 735 forms?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks: O/	A V. Hysell
the re	sponsibility of pro	on 3 of this checklist is yes, is cessing all CHP 735 forms ption or any other document?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	

2 of 4

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8

COMMAND DUI COST RECOVERY

5	Are all CHP 735 forms forwarded to Fiscal Management Section (FMS) properly with completed criteria in either Section A or Section B of the form?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
6	 Does the command have a suspense system in place to facilitate notification of a conviction involving cases meeting the requirements of the Driving Under the Influence (DUI) Cost Recovery Program? This would 	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	 involve cases where the following criteria applies: A Blood Alcohol Content (BAC) under .08% A chemical test is positive for drugs only There is no supporting BAC test of drug test (i.e., 				
7	a refusal) Are CHP 735 forms completed based on the criteria of Section A of the form being forwarded to FMS within ten business days from one of the following dates?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	 The date of BAC results of =.08% were received The date of BAC results of =.04% were received for a commercial driver 				
8	Are CHP 735 forms completed based on the criteria of Section B of the form being forwarded to FMS within ten business days from being notified of a conviction of California Vehicle Sections 23152 or 23153, or greater offence as a result of one of the following? • The person arrested refused to provide a	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	 chemical test The arrest was for drugs only A BAC of < .08% was obtained 				
9.	completed as required in Highway Patrol Manual 11.1, Administrative Procedures Manual, and includes hours for all employees assigned to the incident?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	O. If the person arrested is transient, is the case being entered into the CHP 735A, Case Log-DUI Cost Recovery Program, without forwarding the CHP 735 to FMS?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: No transient arrest in the recent past.
	Are staff hours involved in the incident recorded on the CHP 735 to the nearest ten minutes?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
12	2. Do the total number of staff hours charged on the CHP 735 agree with the appropriate CHP 415, Daily Field Record?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:

3 of 4

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8

COMMAND DUI COST RECOVERY

	13. Does the Notes portion of the CHP 415 indicate the billable DUI time when the CHP 415 includes more than one activity?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	14. Are the staff hours incurred by members of the Department for the following activities associated with an incident meeting the criteria for DUI cost recovery	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	included in the CHP 735? Response Time				
	On-Scene InvestigationFollow-up Investigation				
	Report Writing				
	Vehicle StorageCall Back				
	Field Sobriety Testing				
	Transportation				
	BookingChemical Testing				
	Chemical Testing Traffic Control				
	15. Are the staff hours for officers-in-charge, sergeants,				Remarks:
	lieutenants, or captains listed on the CHP 735 for time spent performing the activities listed in question		│	□ N/A	Tromano.
	12 of this checklist and not exclusively supervisory				
H	tasks? 16. Is the current hourly rate for reimbursement, sent out				
	to all commands via Comm-Net from FMS, being	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
L	used?				
	17. Is a copy of the CHP 735 being retained at the command and filed?	⊠ Yes	│ │	□ N/A	Remarks:
L					
	18. Is the command utilizing the, optional, CHP 735A to track cases qualifying for the DUI Cost Recovery Program?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: Area uses a locally created log (#295-08)
	19. In the absence of a CHP 735A, how is the command tra	acking the	DUI Cost F	Recovery I	Program?
A	rea utilizes a locally created form (#295-08) for tracking the DI	UI Cost Re	covery Pro	gram.	
			,	J	
_	20. Are commands using a case monitoring system to				
	track cases qualifying for the DUI Cost Recovery	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks: Area uses a locally created log (#295-08) for tracking and
	Program including the following information in the monitoring system?				monitoring.
	Defendant Information				
	Violation Information				
	Court Information				
	FMS InformationBAC test results				
	- DAG IGALIGA				

Page 4 of 4

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8
COMMAND DUI COST RECOVERY

21	months after submission to the District Attorney closed out after court verification of case status?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
22	Do closed out cases on the monitoring system have a line drawn through the Conviction Date and Date to FMS as well as the reason the case was closed and date of last follow-up check?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
23.	Are refunds or overpayments, as a result of erroneous charges, in an amount of = \$5.00 being processed by the Department?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Not a Area level.
24.	Is the command reviewing the quarterly reports sent by FMS related to the submission of CHP 735 forms and case status identifying any deficiencies in the submission and accountability of the DUI Cost Recovery Program?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
Questi	ion 25 pertains to Fiscal Management Section.			_(
25.	Is FMS reviewing the CHP 735 forms for completeness of information and returning deficient forms to the issuing command for corrections?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:

1 of 4

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8
COMMAND REIMBURSABLE SERVICES

Command: Amador Area	Division: Valley	Number:			
Evaluated by: Lieutenant Kyn	Date: 3-3-2010				
Assisted by:		Date:			

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Any "No" answers, discrepancies with policy, applicable legal statues, or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall be commented on via the "Remarks" section. Additionally, such discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Exceptions Document and addressed to the next level of command. Furthermore, the memorandum shall include any follow-up and/or corrective action(s) taken. If this form is used as a Follow-up Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be marked and only deficient items need to be re-inspected.

TYPE OF INSPECTION			Lead Inspector's Signature:					
☐ Division Level ☐ Command Level			K 12					
☐ Offi	ce of Inspections	☐ Voluntary Self-Inspection	1. h					
	llow-up Required:	☐ Follow-Up Inspection	Commander's Signature: Date:					
L] Yes 🛛 No	BY:	B-ll 3-3-10				3-3-10	
Fог ар	oplicable policies, refer	to HPM 11.1, Chapter 6.						
		checked, the "Remarks" section	shall be ut	ilized for ex	planation			
 Prior to the performance of services, is the contracting party informed of the rates charged for services, departmental equipment usage, and cancellation policy? 		⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:			
		orm or equipment damage?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:		
3.	agency, is the agency's obtained?		☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: This has not occurred in the Amador Area in the recent past.		
4.	Is the billing code documented on the Reimbursable Services Billing Memorandum?			☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: This has not occurred in the Amador Area in the recent past.		
5.	5. Is \$50 charged for each CHP uniformed employee assigned to the detail if the cancellation notification is less than 24 hours prior to the scheduled service?			☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:		
	6. Is a minimum payment of 4 hours overtime charged when employee(s) could not be notified of the cancellation of their service(s)?			□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:		
	necessary right-of-way requirements, and othe available to inquiring pa		⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:		
	the appropriate comma		⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:		
	Are traffic control service approved by Division?		⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks: Part of the assignment of the "R" number		
10.		es estimated to be \$50,000 or Office of the Commissioner?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Has not occurred in Amador		
11.	Are extraordinary protect Assistant Commissione	ctive services approved by the r, Field?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Ha	s not occurred in	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8
COMMAND REIMBURSABLE SERVICES

Questions 12 through 17 pertain to collecting advance deposits.						
12.	Is a Reimbursable Services Agreement (RSA) log number requested from Division for every contract?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
	Is a CHP 465 form completed in accordance with policy?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
	Are advance payments collected from the contracting company prior to the start of the service?		☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
	Is a CHP 251 prepared and mailed to the contracting company upon receipt of advance payments?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
16.	Is a CHP 467 prepared and submitted to the Fiscal Management Section upon completion of the contractual service(s)?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
	Is a copy of the CHP 465 attached to the weekly CHP 230, and if applicable, a CHP 169?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
Questi	ons 18 through 31 pertain to the preparation of agre	ements.				
18.	Is a CHP 466 maintained?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
19.	Do RSA numbers begin with the letter "R" to denote reimbursable services, followed by two digit fiscal year, three digit location code, and a sequential number for each agreement?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
20.	Is the CHP 466 closed out at the end of each fiscal year with a new log implemented on July 1 beginning with the sequential number 001?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks: However, the "R" numbers are issued by Division	
	Are all sequential numbers accounted for when reconciling with the Billing Memorandum?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
	Are sequential numbers not matching Billing Memorandums reconciled?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Does not occur in Amador	
	Is the original RSA signed and filed at Area?		☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
	Does the command proceed with all RSA arrangements, and if needed, ensure the requestor has obtained the necessary right-of-way, clearances, and permits?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
25.	Is the indemnification clause included in the agreement when requested?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: This has not occurred in the Amador Area in the recent past.	
26.	Is the inclusion of the indemnification clause approved by the Department of General Services, Office of Legal Services?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks This has not occurred in the Amador Area in the recent past.	
27.	If the service is over \$50,000 per occasion, is a CHP 78R prepared and submitted to Contract Services Unit?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: This has not occurred in the Amador Area in the recent past.	
28.	Is a copy of the resolution, order, motion, or ordinance of the local governing body obtained when one of the contracting parties is a county, city, district, or other local public body?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: This has not occurred in the Amador Area in the recent past.	
29.	Are dignitary protection services referred to the Office of Dignitary Protection?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: This has not occurred in	

3 of 4

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8
COMMAND REIMBURSABLE SERVICES

30.	forms prepared when a statewide agreement is in effect?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: This has not occurred in the Amador Area in the recent past.
	When state agencies are requesting a statewide agreement, are they referred to Enforcement Services Division, Field Support Section?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: This has not occurred in the Arnador Area in the recent past.
Questions 32 through 38 pertain to training agreement procedures and reporting for services provided.					
32.	Is a CHP 230 prepared by the contracting party when fees are collected on the day of the training session?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: This has not occurred in the Amador Area in the recent past.
33.	Are the original CHP 467 and contract agreement submitted to Fiscal Management Section (FMS) upon completion of services (other than COZEEP, MAZEEP, extraordinary protective services, and special projects) within 5 days?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
34.	Are copies of CHP 467 forms forwarded to the next level of review?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
35.	Is the date when the Billing Memorandum was sent to FMS noted on the Reimbursable Services Control Log?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks: To Accounting
36.	Is a copy of the command's Reimbursable Services Control Log forwarded or e-mailed to the Division Coordinator at the end of each month?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
37.	Is the Reimbursable Services Control Log verified with the copies of the Billing Memorandums to ensure all reimbursable time has been reported to FMS for billing purposes?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Are outstanding items being inspected and resolved?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	ons 39 through 52 pertain to extraordinary protectiv projects.	e services	and repo	rt of over	time hours for reimbursable
	Is a copy of the CHP 467 and CHP 465 submitted to FMS upon completion of extraordinary protective services?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: This has not occurred in the Amador Area in the recent past.
40.	Is a reimbursable special project code obtained on every contractual service?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: The Amador Area has only utilized a SPC for Kirkwood.
	Is the overtime report(s) for reimbursable special project(s) used to reconcile CHP 415 forms for each special project?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Are the special project codes on the overtime report(s) verified to ensure the correct special project code has been used?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Are all corrections noted on the overtime report(s)?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Are overtime reports approved and dated by the commander after reconciling?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Is the original overtime report(s) forwarded to FMS?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: Forwarded to Division
46.	Is a copy of the overtime report forwarded to Division by the 10 th of the month (except COZEEP/MAZEEP)?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:

Page 4 of 4

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8

COMMAND REIMBURSABLE SERVICES

47. Are all COZEEP/MAZEEP reports forwarded to Division by the 15 th of the month?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
48. Are all COZEEP/MAZEEP reports approved by Division and forwarded to FMS by the 30 th of the month?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Handled by Division
49. Is a copy of the CHP 71 attached to the overtime report(s) when there are reimbursable nonuniformed personnel hours?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
50. Is an amendment of service agreement requested prior to the fund being depleted, and if necessary, is the service discontinued?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: This has not occurred in the Amador Area in the recent past.
51. Are all payments made directly to FMS?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: Payments are occasionally sent to Area by mistake, which are processed properly.
52. Does the command require delinquent companies to pay outstanding invoices in full prior to providing any future services?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: This has not occurred in the Amador Area in the recent past.